

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trade bark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PAYENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,097	11/14/2003	Daisuke Nakamura	016914-0230	1950
22428	7590 03/09/2005		EXAM	INER
	D LARDNER		JILLIONS,	ЈОНИ М
SUITE 500 3000 K STRE	ET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3654	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/09/2006	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

K	· ·	1			
$\iota \alpha$	<u> </u>				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/712,097	NAKAMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	John M. Jillions	3654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		1			
, <u> </u>	– action is non-final.	I			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		ion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio					
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	·				
Attachment(s)	-	(770 440)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/14/03</u> .	6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/712,097

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the "upper sections 52a" and the "dovetail section 1. 52b" mentioned on page 11 lines 20 and 22 are not numbered in the drawings. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 2, line 31, "stoppe" should be –stopped—and the "d" on the next line should be deleted; page 7, line 11,

Application/Control Number: 10/712,097

Art Unit: 3654

--degrees—should be added after "90"; page 7, line 20, --of—should be added after "capable"; page 11, line 8, a comma should be added after "Fig. 5"; and page 21, line 1, "with the invention disclosed in claim 3 of this application" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 12, "another opposing support means" inaccurately implies that there are two opposing pairs of "support means". Further in claims 1 and 2 the terms "capable of" and "possible to" are considered vague since it is unclear whether the functions associated with these terms are meant to be a positive part of the combinations. In claim 3/2, line 2, "the pressure change assigning means" is without proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/712,097

Art Unit: 3654

6. Claims 1 and 3/1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either of JP '786 or JP '104. Either of these documents decreases the distance between the roll supports upon detection of slip by changing the pressure force of at least one support member against the roll core. Any slip during emergency braking would inherently be compensated by increasing the pressure force of the support member against the roll core, meeting the terms of these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2 and 3/2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of JP '786 or JP '104 in view of Hatakeyama et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the expandable contact members reciprocating in dovetail grooves as shown in Hatakeyama et al for the core chucks of either of the JP documents to provide better support of the roll cores and to more effectively release the roll cores after use.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kajiwara, Clarke, Miller et al and Koutonen et al are cited to show other roll support devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Jillions whose telephone number is (703) 308-2685. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:15 - 5:45.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,097

Art Unit: 3654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John M. Jillions Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654

jmj